

UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Phyllosticta citricarpa and sister species of global importance to Citrus.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4jk1b6m1>

Journal

Molecular plant pathology, 20(12)

ISSN

1464-6722

Authors

Guarnaccia, Vladimiro
Gehrmann, Thies
Silva-Junior, Geraldo J
et al.

Publication Date

2019-12-01

DOI

10.1111/mpp.12861

Peer reviewed

Pathogen profile

Phyllosticta citricarpa* and sister species of global importance to *Citrus

VLADIMIRO GUARNACCIA ^{1,2,*}, THIES GEHRMANN¹, GERALDO J. SILVA-JUNIOR³, PAUL H. FOURIE^{4,5}, SAJEET HARIDAS⁶, DUONG VU¹, JOSEPH SPATAFORA⁷, FRANCIS M. MARTIN⁸, VINCENT ROBERT¹, IGOR V. GRIGORIEV⁶, JOHANNES Z. GROENEWALD¹ AND PEDRO W. CROUS¹

¹Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, Netherlands

²DiSAFA, University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco, TO Italy

³Fund for Citrus Protection, Fundecitrus, Araraquara, São Paulo Brazil

⁴Citrus Research International, P.O. Box 28, Nelspruit, 1200, South Africa

⁵Department of Plant Pathology, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Stellenbosch, 7602, South Africa

⁶US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, 2800 Mitchell Dr., Walnut Creek, CA 94598, USA

⁷Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Cordley Hall 2082, Corvallis, 97331-2902, OR USA

⁸Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, UMR INRA-Université de Lorraine "Interaction Arbres/Microorganismes", Champenoux, France

SUMMARY

Several *Phyllosticta* species are known as pathogens of *Citrus* spp., and are responsible for various disease symptoms including leaf and fruit spots. One of the most important species is *P. citricarpa*, which causes a foliar and fruit disease called citrus black spot. The *Phyllosticta* species occurring on citrus can most effectively be distinguished from *P. citricarpa* by means of multi-locus DNA sequence data. Recent studies also demonstrated *P. citricarpa* to be heterothallic, and reported successful mating in the laboratory. Since the domestication of citrus, different clones of *P. citricarpa* have escaped Asia to other continents via trade routes, with obvious disease management consequences. This pathogen profile represents a comprehensive literature review of this pathogen and allied taxa associated with citrus, focusing on identification, distribution, genomics, epidemiology and disease management. This review also considers the knowledge emerging from seven genomes of *Phyllosticta* spp., demonstrating unknown aspects of these species, including their mating behaviour.

Taxonomy: *Phyllosticta citricarpa* (McAlpine) Aa, 1973. Kingdom Fungi, Phylum Ascomycota, Class Dothideomycetes, Order Botryosphaerales, Family Phyllostictaceae, Genus *Phyllosticta*, Species *citricarpa*.

Host range: Confirmed on more than 12 *Citrus* species, *Phyllosticta citricarpa* has only been found on plant species in the Rutaceae.

Disease symptoms: *P. citricarpa* causes diverse symptoms such as hard spot, virulent spot, false melanose and freckle spot on fruit, and necrotic lesions on leaves and twigs.

Useful websites: DOE Joint Genome Institute MycoCosm portals for the *Phyllosticta capitalensis* (<https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/Phycap1>), *P. citriasiana* (<https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/Phycit1>), *P. citribraziliensis* (<https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/Phcit1>), *P. citrichinaensis* (<https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/Phcitr1>), *P. citricarpa* (<https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/Phycitr1>), <https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/Phycpc1>), *P. paracitricarpa* (<https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/Phy27169>) genomes. All available *Phyllosticta* genomes on MycoCosm can be viewed at <https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/Phyllosticta>.

Keywords: *Citrus*, *Guignardia*, mating type, systematics.

INTRODUCTION

Phyllosticta species have been associated with *Citrus* spp. worldwide (Baayen *et al.*, 2002; Baldassari *et al.*, 2008; Brentu *et al.*, 2012; Carstens *et al.*, 2012; Er *et al.*, 2014; Everett and Rees-George 2006; Glienke *et al.*, 2011; Glienke-Blanco *et al.*, 2002; Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a; Wikee *et al.*, 2013a; Wulandari *et al.*, 2009). Citrus black spot (CBS) is an economically important foliar and fruit disease caused by *P. citricarpa* (Baldassari *et al.*, 2008; Kotzé, 1981). The pathogen affects the rind of the fruit, causing different symptoms on almost all commercial citrus

*Correspondence: Email: vladimiro.guarnaccia@unito.it

cultivars, with some lemons, limes, mandarins and late-maturing sweet oranges being the most susceptible (Kiely, 1948a, 1948b, 1949; Kotzé, 1981, 2000; Snowdon, 1990). CBS disease was reported for the first time in Australia (Benson, 1895), and is currently present in warm, summer rainfall areas of Asia, Africa, South America and North America (Kotzé, 1981; Schubert *et al.*, 2012; Yonow *et al.*, 2013).

Phyllosticta citricarpa was previously not readily distinguishable from *P. capitalensis*, a morphologically similar but nonpathogenic species, considered as the asexual morph of *Guignardia mangiferae* (Baayen *et al.*, 2002; Everett and Rees-George, 2006; Glienke *et al.*, 2011). After the advent of DNA-based characterization, a robust multilocus analysis was developed to distinguish *P. citricarpa* from *P. capitalensis* and other *Phyllosticta* species, resolving the identification and detection of *P. citricarpa* (Glienke *et al.*, 2011).

Phyllosticta capitalensis is a cosmopolitan fungus reported from a broad range of plant hosts (Baayen *et al.*, 2002; Bezerra *et al.*, 2012; Everett and Rees-George, 2006; Glienke-Blanco *et al.*, 2002; Johnston, 1998; Meyer *et al.*, 2006; Okane *et al.*, 2001; Rakotoniriana *et al.*, 2008; Rodrigues and Samuels, 1999; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2004; Wikee *et al.*, 2011; Yuan *et al.*, 2009), and has been found on citrus associated with both CBS-affected and asymptomatic plants (Baayen *et al.*, 2002; Everett and Rees-George, 2006; Glienke *et al.*, 2011). Further *Phyllosticta* species have been reported as pathogens of citrus, namely *P. citriasiatica* and *P. citrimaxima*, responsible for citrus tan spot disease on *Citrus maxima* in Asia (Wikee *et al.*, 2013b; Wulandari *et al.*, 2009), *P. citrichinaensis* on different citrus species in Asia and *P. paracitricarpa* on *Citrus sinensis* fruit in China (Wang *et al.*, 2012). The last species was also detected from citrus leaf litter in Europe (Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a). Nevertheless, *P. citribrazilensis* and *P. paracapitalensis* were reported as endophytes on different citrus hosts (Glienke *et al.*, 2011; Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a).

The occurrence of two opposite mating types genes (*MAT1-1* and *MAT1-2-1*) has been reported in *P. citricarpa* populations, confirming the heterothallic behaviour of the fungus and the necessity of crossing between opposite mating types in order to produce viable progeny (Amorim *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2013, 2016). Carstens *et al.* (2017) conducted the first study on the global mating type distribution of *P. citricarpa*. Despite the importance of homo- or heterothallism and knowledge of mating type distribution, little is known about the sexual cycle of most *Phyllosticta* species associated with citrus.

This review focuses on the mating-type behaviour of *P. citricarpa* and other related species associated with citrus, and improved methods for their detection. Currently available knowledge regarding the pathogen's geographical distribution, disease epidemiology and management is summarized. Furthermore, key insights emerging from the genomes of six *Phyllosticta* spp. recently sequenced are highlighted. The purpose of this review is

to provide a basis for future studies aimed at understanding the rapid detection of *Phyllosticta* species associated with citrus, their mating type distribution and global movement.

TAXONOMY AND IDENTIFICATION

The name previously applied to the sexual morph of *Phyllosticta* is *Guignardia* (van der Aa, 1973; Rossman *et al.*, 2015). *Guignardia* was introduced by Viala and Ravaz (1892) as a replacement for *Laestadia* (Bissett, 1986). *Guignardia bidwellii* and related species were included in *Botryosphaeria* by Petrak (1957), and this proposal was supported by Barr (1970, 1972). Later, van der Aa (1973) monographed the genus *Phyllosticta*, and all species were re-evaluated by van der Aa and Vanev (2002). Schoch *et al.* (2006) placed *Phyllosticta* in the order Botryosphaeriales. However, several authors showed that Botryosphaeriaceae contained both *Botryosphaeria* and *Phyllosticta* spp., although this relationship remained poorly resolved (Crous *et al.*, 2006; Liu *et al.*, 2012; Schoch *et al.*, 2006).

The older name *Phyllosticta* was retained over that of *Guignardia* after the end of fungal dual nomenclature (Glienke *et al.*, 2011; Hawksworth *et al.*, 2011; Marin-Felix *et al.*, 2019; Rossman *et al.*, 2015; Sultan *et al.*, 2011; Wikee *et al.*, 2011, 2013b; Wingfield *et al.*, 2012; Wong *et al.*, 2012; Wijayawardene *et al.*, 2014). Wikee *et al.* (2013b) redefined *Phyllosticta* and resurrected the family name Phyllostictaceae, showing that it clusters separately from the Botryosphaeriaceae within the Botryosphaeriales.

The *in vitro* production of pycnidial conidiomata containing aseptate, hyaline conidia, encased in a mucoid layer and bearing a single apical appendage (van der Aa, 1973), is the most characteristic feature used to recognize species of *Phyllosticta*. However, the mucoid layer and appendage are not always present or visible. The sexual morph presents erumpent, globose to pyriform ascomata, often irregularly shaped, unilocular and with a central ostiole. Asci are eight-spored, bitunicate, clavate to broadly ellipsoid, with a wide, obtusely rounded or slightly square apex. Ascospores are ellipsoid to limoniform, sometimes slightly elongated, aseptate, hyaline, showing a large central guttule and a mucoid cap at both ends. Spermatia produced in culture are hyaline, aseptate, cylindrical to dumbbell-shaped with guttules at each end (van der Aa, 1973).

Studies incorporating DNA sequence data (Baayen *et al.*, 2002; Glienke *et al.*, 2011; Wikee *et al.*, 2011; Wulandari *et al.*, 2009) resolved different *Phyllosticta* species associated with citrus that were described based on phylogenetic data, morphology and culture characteristics (Crous *et al.*, 2012; Su and Cai, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Wong *et al.*, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2012).

Phyllosticta citricarpa and *P. capitalensis* have several morphological and physiological differences: (i) colonies of *P.*

citricarpa produce a yellow halo when grown on oatmeal agar (OA), (ii) *P. capitalensis* generally grows faster, (iii) conidia of *P. capitalensis* are normally embedded within a thicker mucoid sheath than observed in *P. citricarpa*, and (iv) there is a higher level of hydrolytic enzyme production in *P. citricarpa* than in *P. capitalensis* (Baayen *et al.*, 2002; Glienke *et al.*, 2011; Romão *et al.*, 2011). Apart from colony characteristics on OA, morphology is unreliable to distinguish these species, and the identification of *P. citricarpa* has been confused with *P. capitalensis*, which is easily isolated as an endophyte from a broad range of hosts, and commonly co-occurs with *P. citricarpa* on citrus.

Phyllosticta capitalensis was originally described on *Stanhopea* (Orchidaceae) from Brazil by Hennings (1908). The name *P. capitalensis* was later applied to an endophytic species occurring in ericaceous plants in Japan by Okane *et al.* (2001), who also described its sexual morph as a new species, *G. endophyllicola*. The name to apply to the sexual morph has been much debated in the past. Baayen *et al.* (2002), based on DNA sequence data of the rDNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region, linked *P. capitalensis* to *G. mangiferae*, a species originally reported from *Mangifera indica* in India, separating *P. capitalensis* from *G. endophyllicola*. Later, Glienke *et al.* (2011), on the basis of a multilocus phylogenetic analysis, revealed that *P. capitalensis sensu lato* was genetically distinct from a reference isolate of *G. mangiferae*, and concluded that the correct name for the common endophyte is *P. capitalensis*, since *G. mangiferae* is a distinct taxon on mango. *Phyllosticta citricarpa* is clearly distinct from *P. capitalensis* based on sequences of the ITS region, with each species showing low levels of intraspecific variation (Hu *et al.*, 2014; Okane *et al.*, 2003; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2004).

Significant progress in species differentiation was achieved with the introduction of multilocus DNA phylogenetic analyses. Several studies have been performed with multigene sequencing of a large number of *Phyllosticta* species (Glienke *et al.*, 2011; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Wulandari *et al.*, 2009). Wulandari *et al.* (2009) revealed three *Phyllosticta* clades associated with citrus in Thailand using three partial DNA regions (ITS, *tef1*, *actA*), namely, *P. capitalensis*, *P. citricarpa* and *P. citriasiana*. Similarly, Wang *et al.* (2012) described *P. citrichinaensis* and distinguished two subclades within *P. citricarpa*, which were differentiated as two species in a later study (Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a) and are described in greater detail below. In Brazil, Glienke *et al.* (2011) distinguished a new endophytic species associated with *Citrus* sp., *P. citribraziliensis*, combining four partial regions of DNA (ITS, *tef1*, *actA*, *gapdh*). The most recent study focusing on *Phyllosticta* taxonomy (Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a) revealed two new species, namely *P. paracapitalensis*, from asymptomatic leaves of citrus species in Italy and Spain, and *P. paracitricarpa*, from leaf litter of *C. limon* in Greece. This study was based on the use of molecular data in which six gene regions (ITS, *actA*, *tef1*, *gapdh*, LSU, *rpb2*) were analysed.

The phylogeny of *Phyllosticta* based on the ITS and *actA* genomic loci has been reported as sufficient to differentiate most taxa, except those closely related to *P. capitalensis* (Wikee *et al.*, 2013b), such as *P. paracapitalensis*, which can be distinguished by adding sequences of *tef1* and *rpb2* (Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a). The use of a three-gene analysis (ITS, *actA*, *tef1*) performed in a previous study by Wang *et al.* (2012) showed two poorly supported subclades within *P. citricarpa*. Highly supported independent lineages were obtained in the phylogenetic tree through the addition of a further three genomic loci (*gapdh*, LSU and *rpb2*) to confirm that the two subclades actually represent two distinct species, *P. citricarpa* and *P. paracitricarpa* (Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a).

DISTRIBUTION AND HOST ASSOCIATIONS

Since the fifth century BC, the movement of citrus plants from Asia, where citrus and CBS disease are endemic, occurred to countries around the Mediterranean Sea (Mabberley, 2004; Nicolosi, 2007; Ramon-Laca, 2003; Wu *et al.*, 2018). *Phyllosticta* spp. may therefore have been introduced to and may be present in all citrus-growing countries.

The first report of *P. citricarpa* causing CBS disease was in Australia in the late 19th century, specifically in coastal regions of New South Wales (Benson, 1895). Subsequently, *P. citricarpa* has been recorded in many citrus-growing areas of Australia, Africa, Asia, Central, North and South America, and Europe (Supporting Information Table S1). *Phyllosticta capitalensis* has been reported worldwide as a common endophyte of citrus and other hosts (Baayen *et al.*, 2002; Wikee *et al.*, 2013a). Recent studies also revealed the existence of other *Phyllosticta* species on *Citrus*. In Brazil, Glienke *et al.* (2011) described *P. citribraziliensis* from *Citrus* sp. In Asia, *P. citriasiana* (China, Thailand, Vietnam) and *P. citrimaxima* (Thailand) were found on *C. maxima* (Wikee *et al.*, 2013b; Wulandari *et al.*, 2009) and *P. citrichinaensis* was described by Wang *et al.* (2012) as a pathogen of several *Citrus* spp. in China.

In 2016, knowledge about the diversity and distribution of fungi in association with citrus was enhanced when a survey performed in citrus-growing areas of Europe revealed the presence of different pathogens, saprobes and endophytes, including four *Phyllosticta* spp. (Crous *et al.*, 2016, 2017; Guarnaccia and Crous, 2017, 2018; Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a, 2017b; Sandoval-Denis *et al.*, 2018). Two of these species, *P. paracapitalensis* and *P. paracitricarpa*, were described as new and were isolated from fresh asymptomatic leaves in Italy and Spain, respectively, and from leaf litter of lemon in Greece. Moreover, *P. capitalensis* and *P. citricarpa* were reported by molecular analysis for the first time associated with citrus in Europe from asymptomatic leaves in Greece, Italy, Malta, Portugal and Spain, respectively, and from leaf litter in Italy, Malta and Portugal. The six-gene phylogenetic analysis performed by Guarnaccia *et al.* (2017a) revealed that a strain previously identified as *P. capitalensis* from *Citrus aurantiifolia*

cultivated in New Zealand (Everett and Rees-George, 2006) also clustered with *P. paracapitalensis*. Similarly, two Chinese strains appearing as *P. citricarpa* subclade-II *sensu* Wang *et al.* (2012) grouped with *P. paracitricarpa*.

Eight *Phyllosticta* species are now associated with citrus: *P. citricarpa* and *P. capitalensis* are present on all continents where citrus species are cultivated. *Phyllosticta paracapitalensis* was reported from Europe and New Zealand, while *P. paracitricarpa* is present in Asia and Europe. *Phyllosticta citrichinaensis*, *P. citriasiana* and *P. citrimaxima* were found only in Asia, and the endophyte *P. citribraziliensis* has been reported only in South America (Glienke *et al.*, 2011; Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Wikee *et al.*, 2013b; Wulandari *et al.*, 2009). Current knowledge about the distribution of these *Phyllosticta* species reveals that most of them are present in Asia, where citrus originated (Wu *et al.*, 2018). However, several incursions have occurred along with the global establishment of citrus cultivation and given the long-range dispersal of these species via infected citrus plant propagation material, it would be expected that *P. citricarpa* and/or other *Phyllosticta* species may be present in many citrus-producing countries.

EPIDEMIOLOGY AND SYMPTOMS

Citrus black spot disease has been reported in most of the major citrus-producing countries, exclusively in areas with warm, summer rainfall climates (Carstens *et al.*, 2012; Magarey *et al.*, 2015; Martínez-Minaya *et al.*, 2018; Paul *et al.*, 2005; Yonow *et al.*, 2013). *Phyllosticta citricarpa* produces ascospores in pseudothecia and conidia in pycnidia as inoculum sources. In general, spore production and release occur during the rainy season (Dummel *et al.*, 2015; Fourie *et al.*, 2013; Kiely, 1948a; Kotzé, 1963, 1996, 2000; Reis *et al.*, 2006).

Pseudothecia develop 40–180 days after leaf fall, and under favourable conditions such as alternate wetting and drying of leaves and mild to warm temperature fluctuations, inducing pseudothecium maturation and ascospore discharge (Dummel *et al.*, 2015; Fourie *et al.*, 2013; Hu *et al.*, 2014; Huang and Chang, 1972; Kiely, 1948a; Kotzé, 1963; Lee and Huang, 1973; McOnie, 1964a; Reis *et al.*, 2006; Truter, 2010).

Conidia are considered particularly important in the case of co-existence of mature diseased fruit in a tree with young growing fruit, in areas with a large number of dead twigs and in citrus species in which hard spots with pycnidia are formed on leaves (Fig. 1) (Baldassari *et al.*, 2006; Spósito *et al.*, 2008, 2011). Ascospores are found only on fallen leaves (leaf litter) and not on fruit, green leaves and twigs attached to the canopy. Conidia can be found in dead twigs (not on green twigs), green leaves (mainly lemons) and diseased fruit as well as on fallen leaves (Baldassari *et al.*, 2006; Kiely, 1948a; Kotzé, 1963).

An interesting case is the finding of only one mating type in the population from Florida. In this population, the primary inoculum is represented by conidia, which are related to the short-distance dispersal of the disease (Hendricks *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2016). Thus, there is no production of pseudothecia and the asexual overwintering of the pathogen could occur endophytically as latent infections in leaves or twigs, in leaf or twig lesions, leaf litter, and on infected, out-of-season fruit on the tree (Kiely, 1948b; Spósito *et al.*, 2007, 2011; Wager, 1952; Whiteside, 1967). Similarly, *P. citricarpa* strains were isolated from asymptomatic leaves and no CBS symptoms were ever found in Europe (Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a).

Windborne ascospores are generally dispersed under field conditions over longer distances (c. 25 m) and are associated with the CBS spread between trees (Spósito *et al.*, 2007), while conidia are dispersed over short distances (less than 80 cm) by washing-down, being responsible for spread of the pathogen within a tree canopy (Kotzé, 1981; Spósito *et al.*, 2011) or inter-canopy (Hendricks *et al.*, 2017).

Conditions required for infection by ascospores or conidia are at least 15 or 12 h leaf or fruit wetness at optimal temperatures (27 °C), respectively, while longer wetness periods are required at sub- or super-optimal temperatures in the range of 15 to 35 °C (Kiely, 1948a, 1948b, 1949; Kotzé, 1963, 1981; McOnie, 1967; Noronha, 2002; Wang and Dewdney, 2014). The spores germinate and produce appressoria in the presence of moisture, and start infection by penetrating the cuticle and expanding into a small mass of mycelium between the cuticle and the epidermis wall, as a latent infection (McOnie, 1967).

Symptoms of CBS occur months later, in general after a latent period. In sweet orange fruit, false melanose lesions appear on green fruit from 40 to 110 days after inoculation, while hard spots are observed from 110 to 360 days. Some factors influence the length of the latent period, such as the inoculum concentration and fruit diameter (Frare *et al.*, 2019). In addition, increasing temperatures from 20 to 27 °C when the fruit are mature can stimulate the appearance of CBS symptoms and lead to expression of a significant number of fruit lesions. High light intensity induces more fruit lesion development, thus the canopy side most exposed to light shows more symptoms. Under dry conditions CBS symptom expression may be increased. Age and stress are also linked to CBS development, since symptoms are more severe on older trees than on healthy, young trees (Kiely, 1948b; Kotze, 1981).

Phyllosticta citricarpa affects fruit, leaves and twigs of several citrus hosts causing diverse symptoms (Kiely, 1948a, 1949; Kotzé, 1981, 2000; Snowdon 1990). Hard spot, characterised by sunken, pale brown necrotic lesions with a dark reddish brown raised border, often containing pycnidia, is the most common symptom (Fig. 2). Further symptom types have been described: virulent spot, which are sunken necrotic lesions without defined borders mostly on mature fruit; false melanose, consisting of small black pustules usually in a tear stain pattern; freckle,




Fig. 1 Cycle of citrus black spot disease, caused by *Phyllosticta citricarpa*.

cracked or speckled spot. Leaf and twigs symptoms rarely occur on orange, mandarin and other commercial citrus species, but they are frequently present on lemons. They appear as round, small, sunken necrotic lesions with a yellow halo (Kotzé, 1981).

In Asia, *P. citriasiana* and *P. citrimaxima* were reported to cause citrus tan spot on *C. maxima* fruit and leaves (Wikee *et al.*, 2013b; Wulandari *et al.*, 2009): shallow lesions with a small central depressed grey to tan area, with a dark brown margin.

This symptom usually starts appearing with fruit ripening. *Phyllosticta citrichinaensis* causes a brown spot and red-brown protuberant freckle on citrus leaves and fruit (Wang *et al.*, 2012). *Phyllosticta paracitricarpa* was isolated from fruit spots on *C. limon* and *C. sinensis* in China, and its potential pathogenicity was demonstrated only through a preliminary pathogenicity test performed on detached mature orange fruit by Guarnaccia *et al.* (2017a).




Fig. 2 Citrus black spot symptoms caused by *Phyllosticta citricarpa*. (a) Typical hard and virulent spot with sunken necrotic lesions on *Citrus limon* fruit. (b) Hard spot lesions with a dark brown raised border on *C. limon* leaves. (c) Freckle spot containing pycnidia on *C. sinensis* rind.

The remaining *Phyllosticta* species recovered from citrus are considered as nonpathogenic. *Phyllosticta capitalensis* has been reported from 21 different plant families (Baayen *et al.*, 2002; Bezerra *et al.*, 2012; Everett and Rees-George, 2006; Glienke-Blanco *et al.*, 2002; Johnston, 1998; Meyer *et al.*, 2006; Okane *et al.*, 2001; Rakotoniriana *et al.*, 2008; Rodrigues and Samuels, 1999; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2004; Yuan *et al.*, 2009) and from symptomatic and asymptomatic citrus plants (Baayen *et al.*, 2002; Everett and Rees-George, 2006; Glienke *et al.*, 2011). This species was not associated with lesion formation in a pathogenicity test on detached mature sweet orange fruit (Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a). *Phyllosticta citribraziliensis* was described from healthy citrus leaves by Glienke *et al.* (2011). *Phyllosticta paracapitalensis* is known as an endophyte from *C. limon*, *C. floridana* and *C. aurantiifolia* (Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a).

SEXUAL REPRODUCTION AND GENETICS

The MAT1 mating type locus regulates sexual reproduction in ascomycetes by controlling mechanisms that lead to fertilization (Coppin *et al.*, 1997; Debuchy *et al.*, 2010). Two mating type idiomorphs are associated with MAT1, known as MAT1-1, containing the *MAT1-1-1* gene, and MAT1-2, containing the *MAT1-2-1* gene (Coppin *et al.*, 1997; Debuchy and Turgeon, 2006; Debuchy *et al.*, 2010; Pöggeler, 2001; Turgeon and Yoder, 2000). Numerous homothallic fungal species have been shown to possess both MAT genes, while heterothallic species have MAT1-1 and MAT1-2 idiomorphs in different individuals, and fertilization requires the fusion of a male (spermatium) and a female (ascogonium) elements (Alexopoulos *et al.*, 1996; Coppin *et al.*, 1997; Ni *et al.*, 2011). Although it is not well known, the presence of spermatia and ascogonia is crucial in the *P. citricarpa* life cycle (Baayen *et al.*, 2002; Kiely, 1948a), as well as for other members of Dothideomycetes. Kiely (1948a) was the first to reflect on the role of spermatia as male element due to the occurrence of spermatogonia prior to the formation of pseudothecial ascomata.

The heterothallic nature of *P. citricarpa* was recently confirmed through full sequence of target loci characterization, showing the presence of separated MAT1-1 or MAT1-2 idiomorphs (Amorim *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2016). Studies were performed during the last couple of decades with the aim of developing reliable methods to induce the sexual morph of *P. citricarpa* *in vitro*. The inoculation of a single mycelium plug or of a mycelial and spore suspension on a medium containing lemon leaf and malt extracts was reported as successful by Moran Lemir *et al.* (2000). However, this process was not reproducible during subsequent studies (Baldassari *et al.*, 2008; Wang *et al.*, 2016). Amorim *et al.* (2017) conducted *in vitro* crossings with isolates of different mating types on various media and incubated plates at different conditions, but did not observe any sexual structures. The first successful and reproducible mating of *P. citricarpa* in culture was reported by Tran *et al.* (2017), involving direct physical contact between two isolates of complementary mating types. The spermatia function as male gametes and their fertilization of receptive organs during sexual reproduction of *P. citricarpa* via spermatization was demonstrated. Spermatia were shown to be incapable of germinating and forming colonies on media, demonstrating their role in sexual reproduction rather than vegetative growth. This study confirmed that the mating type genes of *P. citricarpa* are functional and that sexual reproduction can occur under laboratory conditions. Furthermore, Tran *et al.* (2017) conducted multilocus genotyping using simple-sequence repeat (SSR) markers to determine the genotypes and hybrid nature of the cultures derived from ascospores obtained *in vitro*. These results demonstrate that new recombinant genotypes were formed in the F₁ progeny, confirming that genetic recombination occurs. Tran *et al.* (2018) also tested the pathogenicity of *P. citricarpa* ascospores, obtaining typical CBS symptoms on Troyer citrange leaves and Murcott tangor fruit with ascospores produced *in vitro* from characterized *P. citricarpa* isolates, confirmed with recovering and genotyping the inoculated

recombinant ascospore isolates from obtained symptoms. These recent findings are crucial for new research projects aimed at understanding pathogenicity, as well as management of diseases caused by *Phyllosticta* species.

In Australia, Brazil, China and South Africa, MAT genotyping of populations revealed an almost equal mating type distribution, confirming the occurrence of sexual reproduction in these populations (Amorim *et al.*, 2017; Carstens *et al.*, 2017; Tran *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Interestingly, in Florida in the USA, only one mating type has been identified (Carstens *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2016). Both MAT1-1 and MAT1-2 containing isolates occur in Europe, but both mating types were not recovered together in the same geographic location, nor were pseudothecial ascomata ever observed (Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a).

The first SSR markers for *P. citricarpa* were developed by Wang *et al.* (2016) from two published genome sequences of *P. citricarpa* and consist of seven polymorphic loci. One population from Australia and one from Florida were genotyped using these markers. Two to four alleles per locus were identified in the Australian population, and 11 multilocus genotypes (MLGs) among 24 Australian isolates. The populations from Florida consisted of a single MLG, confirming the results obtained in previous studies based on MAT genotyping (Wang *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Population genetic inferences were strengthened by the development of eight new polymorphic SSR markers by Carstens *et al.* (2017), which were added to the seven markers by Wang *et al.* (2016). Thus, a total of 15 SSR markers was used to genotype several populations from Australia, Brazil, China, Florida (USA) and South Africa (Carstens *et al.*, 2017). The high level of gene and genotypic diversity within *P. citricarpa* populations of China and Australia, as well as the presence of several private alleles, demonstrated a longer evolutionary history with the citrus host in these countries, compared to South Africa, Brazil and the USA. The US population was confirmed to be clonal (Carstens *et al.*, 2017). Populations from Australia, Brazil, South Africa and the USA shared an MLG, suggesting a long-distance human-mediated dispersal. Moreover, the clonal mating type (*MAT1-2-1*) present in the US population suggested a human introduction, with subsequent asexual reproduction of the pathogen in Florida (Carstens *et al.*, 2017; Hendricks *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2016).

Another recent study based on the same 15 SSR markers used by Carstens *et al.* (2017) revealed that the *P. citricarpa* populations from Italy and Malta versus Portugal represented two separate clones, differing from each other in their MLGs and mating type (Guarnaccia *et al.*, 2017a). The origin of *P. citricarpa* in Europe is still unclear because these populations also differed from one another in their connectivity and differentiation level from the other populations from Australia, Brazil, China, South Africa and the USA. The genotyping performed in that study showed that the populations from Portugal and

Australia were more strongly connected to each other than to other populations, whilst very little connectivity was evident between the Portuguese population and those from the other continents, including the population from Italy and Malta. Similarly, the Italy/Malta population appeared to be distinct from the other known populations. These results suggest at least two separate introductions into Europe.

GENOMICS

Seven genomes and transcriptomes of *Phyllosticta* spp., including two strains of *P. citricarpa*, have been sequenced as part of the 1000 Fungal Genomes initiative of the United States Department of Energy Joint Genomics Institute (JGI; <http://1000.fungalgenomes.org>) (Table 1). The QIAGEN Genomic-tip 100/G (QIAGEN Benelux B.V., Venlo, Netherlands) and the QIAGEN RNeasy Midi kit have been used, respectively, for DNA and RNA isolation. All of these genomes, except for *P. citriasiana* (strain CBS 120486) were sequenced using PacBio technology and assembled with Falcon v. 1.8.8 (<https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/FALCON>). The genome of *P. citriasiana* was sequenced using the Illumina platform and assembled with AllPathsLG release v. R49403 (Gnerre *et al.*, 2011). All transcriptomes were sequenced using Illumina and assembled with Trinity v. 2.3.2 (Grabherr *et al.*, 2011). All genomes were annotated using the JGI Annotation Pipeline (Grigoriev *et al.*, 2014). The average *Phyllosticta* genome is about 30.9 Mbp with about 5.2% repeats and c.11 300 genes (Table 1, Fig. 3). Core Eukaryotic Genes Mapping Approach (CEGMA) (Parra *et al.*, 2007) analysis showed that these assemblies capture more than 99.5% of conserved eukaryotic genes. In all these genomes, >70% of genes had more than one exon (median = 2), similar to other Dothideomycetes, with an average gene density of 365.33 Mbp. Of the 11 300 genes in a *Phyllosticta* genome, more than two-thirds (67.6%) are shared with all other *Phyllosticta* strains in this study and an additional 20% are found in more than one *Phyllosticta* genome. The largest families include transporters, protein kinases and transcription factors. On average, 12% of these genes were unique to each species in our study (Fig. 3), with the largest fraction of species-specific genes in *P. capitalensis* at the base of the *Phyllosticta* tree, and fewer differences within the group of two *P. citricarpa* isolates and *P. paracitricarpa*. However, further studies are needed to explore the variation within the *P. citricarpa* and *P. paracitricarpa* populations.

In order to provide a foundation for future studies, the mating type loci of the seven *Phyllosticta* genomes have been analysed with the aim of identifying which mating type(s) are present in *Phyllosticta* species and to characterize the mating type genes. Based on a comparison of the genes known to be in *P. citricarpa* (Amorim *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2016), the 40SS9, PH Domain, MAT1-1-1, MAT1-2-9, MAT1-2-1, OML1 and APN2 protein sequences (see Table S3 for accessions) have been selected. Then, based on

Table 1 Assembly statistics, gene content and annotation characteristics of seven *Phyllosticta* spp. genomes sequenced for this study.

	<i>P. capitalensis</i> CBS 128856	<i>P. citriasiana</i> CBS 120486	<i>P. citribraziliensis</i> CBS 100098	<i>P. citrichinaensis</i> CBS 130529	<i>P. paracitricarpa</i> CBS 141357	<i>P. citricarpa</i> CBS 127454	<i>P. citricarpa</i> CBS 141350
Assembly length	32 461 131	32 696 106	31 670 975	29 162 704	29 529 839	28 952 665	32 267 666
# contigs (scaffolds)	14	741 (133)	32	25	134	152	82
Contig (scaffold) N50	5	56 (14)	7	4	23	23	13
Contig (scaffold) L50, bp	2 860 346	163 917 (807 147)	1 720 616	2 710 567	510 184	440 231	900 945
% GC, ignoring Ns	54.58	53.05	54.17	55.07	54.35	54.6	52.56
% repeats	2.07	9.24	6.16	4.34	2.62	2.76	9.46
Gene density (Mbp)	371.61	347.69	350.51	380.35	375.25	382.97	348.93
# genes	12 063	11 368	11 101	11 092	11 081	11 088	11 259
% spliced	70.73	72.69	74.17	71.41	72.12	72.75	71.92
% CEGMA coverage	99.78	99.78	99.78	100	99.78	99.56	100
# unique domains hit (HMMpfam)	3764	3742	3741	3713	3692	3700	3733




Fig. 3 Phylogeny, assembly characteristics and gene composition of *Phyllosticta* genomes. (A) Genome based mid-point rooted phylogenetic tree using 3455 single copy proteins identified using Markov Cluster Algorithm (mcl 10-148, 1.008). Protein sequences were aligned with MAFFT (v. 7.123b) and the resulting alignment was cleaned using Gblocks 0.91b. The final alignment had 177824 distinct alignment patterns and was used for tree building using RAxML (v. 7.6.3) with 100 rapid bootstrap inferences, GAMMA model of rate heterogeneity and ML estimate of α -parameter. All nodes showed 100% bootstrap support. (B) Genome size and repeat content. Predicted genes (CDS) covers about half of the assembled genome while genomic repeats cover between 2% and 10% of these assemblies. (C) Number of predicted genes. Core Genes are found in all *Phyllosticta* genomes, Common Genes are found in more than one *Phyllosticta* genome while Unique Genes are found only in one genome.

translated nucleotide blast (Altschul *et al.*, 1997), the scaffolds were identified in each assembly that contain fragments of the mating type locus genes. Both strains of *P. citricarpa* (European

and Australian strains) and the *P. paracitricarpa* strain have heterothallic idiomorphs containing the *MAT1-2-1* gene. In contrast, *P. citriasiana* and *P. citribraziliensis* strains have heterothallic

idiomorphs containing the *MAT1-1-1* gene. *Phyllosticta citrichinaensis* is homothallic, containing the full *MAT1-1* and *MAT1-2* loci on different scaffolds. Finally, *P. capitalensis* seems to contain a hybrid of both *MAT1-1* and *MAT1-2* loci, with the *MAT1-2-1* and *MAT1-1-1* co-linearly present between the *40SS9* and *OML1* genes. The scaffolds with the *MAT* locus fragments were visualized with SimpleSynteny (Veltri *et al.*, 2016) (Fig. 4).

In addition, new *Phyllosticta*-specific mating type primers (Table 2) have been designed and a PCR method developed for rapid identification of the *MAT1-1-1* and *MAT1-2-1* genes in *P. capitalensis*, *P. citriasiana*, *P. citribraziliensis*, *P. citricarpa*, *P. citrichinaensis* and *P. paracitricarpa* strains (Supporting Information Fig. S1). The primer pair *MAT111deg-F2* and *MAT111deg-R3* amplified a fragment of approximately 1010 bp, whilst the primer pair *MAT121deg-F1* and *MAT121deg-R1* amplified a 300-bp fragment of several tested isolates (Supporting Information Table S2).

DISEASE MANAGEMENT

Citrus tan spot caused by *P. citriasiana* and *P. citrimaxima* was only recently described and their economic importance is uncertain. Likewise, the economic importance of *P. paracitricarpa* is unknown. Control of these *Phyllosticta* species has not been studied, but it is likely to be effectively controlled by CBS management measures.

The management of CBS starts with planting disease-free citrus trees, since infected propagation material is the most effective means of spreading *P. citricarpa* to new areas (Doidge, 1929; Kiely, 1948b; Kotze, 1981; Marchionatto, 1926; McOnie,

1964b; Silva-Junior *et al.*, 2016a; Wager, 1952). Regulatory measures are imposed by some countries to prevent the import and spread of *P. citricarpa* on infected propagation material into CBS-free areas (EFSA, 2014; Kotzé, 1981). In addition, the movement of leaf litter from infected orchards through vehicle/machine movement is also important (Dewdney *et al.*, 2018; Silva-Junior *et al.*, 2016a). Citrus fruit is not considered to be a realistic pathway for spread of *P. citricarpa* to new areas (USDA APHIS, 2010) for the following reasons: (i) the airborne ascospores cannot be produced on fruit, (ii) pycnidia are only produced in certain fruit lesion types (Brentu *et al.*, 2012; FAO, 2014; Kotzé, 2000; Marques *et al.*, 2012; OEPP/EPPO, 2009; Wager, 1952) and conidia are short-lived with low germination ability (Kiely, 1948b), (iii) conidium dispersal from fruit lesions is by means of short-distance (<1 m) wash-down dispersal (Kiely, 1948b; McOnie, 1965; Spósito *et al.*, 2008, 2011; Whiteside, 1967), (iv) standard packhouse treatments and cold storage effectively control *P. citricarpa* infections (Korf *et al.*, 2001; Lucon *et al.*, 2010; Rappussi *et al.*, 2009, 2011; Schreuder *et al.*, 2018; Seberry *et al.*, 1967; Yan *et al.*, 2016), and CBS lesions on fruit or discarded peel segments have a very low reproductive potential (Korf *et al.*, 2001; Schreuder *et al.*, 2018; Schutte *et al.*, 2014), and (v) fallen leaves are not susceptible to infection (Truter *et al.*, 2007). Inter-state movement of commercial packhouse-treated fruit from CBS present to CBS-absent areas is therefore permitted in the USA, in line with their Pest Risk Analysis conclusion that fruit is not a realistic pathway (USDA APHIS, 2011). In European Union countries, where CBS disease has not been recorded, regulatory




Fig. 4 Syntenic relationships between mating type loci in different species of *Phyllosticta*. For each genome, the regions of the scaffolds containing mating type genes are illustrated as beige strips. Shaded breaks in the strips indicate larger genomic regions that do not contain our mating type genes, which are not visualized for clarity and brevity. The scaffold numbers and the relevant positions are annotated. Coloured boxes on the scaffolds indicate mating type genes, and the pointed end refers to the 3' end of the gene. Boxes with the same colour indicate the same mating type gene. Lines link the boxes to indicate their positions in the different genomes. Together, this figure visualizes the rearrangement of mating type loci across the *Phyllosticta* genomes.

Table 2 Primers designed and used in this study.

Primer	Sequence (5'–3')	Description
MAT111degF2	GCTCTCAACTCTTCATGGC	<i>Phyllosticta</i> spp. MAT1-1-1 specific
MAT111degR3	TGGYKCGYYGCATCACGC	<i>Phyllosticta</i> spp. MAT1-1-1 specific
MAT121degF1	AACAYRTCARGCYCCGG	<i>Phyllosticta</i> spp. MAT1-2-1 specific
MAT121degR1	YAABCTGGRTTYTCCATCG	<i>Phyllosticta</i> spp. MAT1-2-1 specific

measures have been imposed on the import of citrus fruit from countries where CBS is present (EFSA, 2014). However, the technical justification for these measures has been contested (CBS Expert Panel, 2013, 2014, 2015).

Different chemical and cultural control measures are used for CBS management (Dewdney *et al.*, 2018; Kotzé, 2000; Silva-Junior *et al.*, 2016a). The most effective strategy for control of CBS is the fungicide application during the period of fruit susceptibility (Lanza *et al.*, 2018; Makowski *et al.*, 2014; Schutte *et al.*, 2003). The main fungicides used are strobilurins (quinone outside inhibitors, Qol), dithiocarbamates and fixed copper (multisite activity), and methyl benzimidazole carbamate (MBC), which may be applied singly or in mixtures with mineral oil (Dewdney *et al.*, 2018; Kellerman and Kotze, 1977; Kotze, 1981, 2000; Miles *et al.*, 2004; Schutte *et al.*, 2003, 2012; Silva-Junior *et al.*, 2016a, 2016b). Sprays of Qol fungicides may reduce CBS symptoms by almost 100%, becoming one of the most valuable solutions for disease control (Dewdney *et al.*, 2018; Fogliata *et al.*, 2011; Miles *et al.*, 2004; Schutte *et al.*, 2003; Silva-Junior *et al.*, 2016a). The mode of action of Qol is highly specific; however, the presence of an intron in the cytochrome b gene reduces the risk of the G143A mutation, which causes Qol resistance (Hincapie *et al.*, 2014; Stammler *et al.*, 2013). Nonetheless, the number of Qol sprays per season should be restricted to prevent resistance development by another mechanism (Dewdney *et al.*, 2018; Silva Junior *et al.*, 2016a, 2016b).

In countries such as South Africa and Australia, the applications for control of CBS are restricted to the critical period of *P. citricarpa* infection, from petal fall stage, in October, to 120–150 days later, January–February (Kotzé 1981; Kiely, 1948b; Miles *et al.*, 2004; Schutte *et al.*, 1997, 2003). Typically, two to five spray rounds (dependent on citrus type and climate suitability of the production region for CBS) of mancozeb or copper-based fungicides alone, or in mixture with MBC or Qol plus oil, are applied in South Africa, using high volumes from 6000 to 16 000 L/ha (Moyo *et al.*, 2018; Schutte *et al.*, 1997, 2003, 2012). In Brazil, where conditions are much more favourable for CBS (Magarey *et al.*, 2015), a longer period of fruit protection is required (Lanza *et al.*, 2018; Silva-Junior *et al.*, 2016a, 2016b). Two copper

sprays are performed after petal fall, between September and November with a 21- to 28-day interval, not only for CBS, but also to control other citrus diseases, followed by two to four Qol applications, performed with a 35- to 42-day interval. This programme protects the fruit for 180–220 days until March or up to May. The spray volume is around 75 mL of spray mixture/m³ of the tree canopy, based on the tree-row-volume (TRV) concept (Lanza *et al.*, 2018; Silva-Junior *et al.*, 2016a, 2016b). In the USA, the control programme has been based on fungicide efficacy results from other countries. Applications of copper and Qol fungicides are recommended from early May to mid-September, but if favourable conditions occur in April, earlier sprays are recommended (Dewdney *et al.*, 2018; Hincapie *et al.*, 2014). Miles *et al.* (2004) demonstrated CBS control using the host defence promoter acibenzolar-S methyl in the field, but it was not as effective as the registered fungicides.

In addition to chemical control, cultural control measures may be used to reduce the amount of *P. citricarpa* inoculum (Bellotte *et al.*, 2009, 2013; Schutte and Kotzé, 1997), such as the removal of leaf litter with machines (Bellotte *et al.*, 2009; Scaloppi *et al.*, 2012; Spósito *et al.*, 2011; Truter, 2010), the acceleration of leaf litter decomposition with urea, ammonium sulphate, sugarcane bagasse (Bellotte *et al.*, 2009; Dewdney *et al.*, 2018; Kotzé, 1981; van Bruggen *et al.*, 2017), the mulching with plants that grow between rows of orchards to cover leaf litter (Bellotte *et al.*, 2013; Schutte and Kotzé, 1997), the pruning of dead twigs (Silva *et al.*, 2017; Silva-Junior *et al.*, 2016a), irrigation and balanced nutrition (Calavan, 1960; Dewdney *et al.*, 2018; Kotzé, 1981), and the harvesting for optimal fruit quality and prevention of overlapping fruit sets (Kotzé, 1981; Spósito *et al.*, 2008, 2011). Biological control of CBS with fungi, including *P. capitalensis*, and bacteria have shown inhibitory effect against *P. citricarpa* *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Almeida, 2009; Kupper *et al.*, 2011; Pena *et al.*, 2017; Santos *et al.*, 2016; Tran *et al.*, 2019); however, there is no biocontrol agent that controls CBS with fungicide-like efficiency under field conditions. Genetic methods may improve the CBS resistance of citrus. Rodriguez *et al.* (2018) produced transgenic sweet orange trees with reduced production of D-limonene in the fruit flavedo and enhanced resistance against *P. citricarpa*, but these materials need to be assessed under field conditions.

Postharvest treatments aimed at preventing decay and maintaining fruit quality have a strong effect on the CBS pathogen. Low temperatures reduce the postharvest development of symptoms on fruit (Agostini *et al.*, 2006; Brodrick and Rabie, 1970) and standard packhouse treatments reduce the viability of the fungus in fruit lesions by three- to seven-fold (Korf *et al.*, 2001). Schreuder *et al.* (2018) demonstrated that the combination of standard packhouse fungicide treatments aimed at green mould or sour rot control (including pre-packhouse drench, packhouse dip and brush application of a wax coating) followed by cold storage (common shipping protocol for exported fresh fruit)

consistently showed moderate to high levels of control of CBS in lemons and oranges. Several other studies also reported control of latent *P. citricarpa* infections through application of various chemical or biological postharvest treatments (Lucon *et al.*, 2010; Rappussi *et al.*, 2009, 2011; Seberry *et al.*, 1967; Yan *et al.*, 2016). On the contrary, Agostini *et al.* (2006) reported no significant control with the postharvest fungicides evaluated in their study.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Considerable taxonomic confusion has characterized studies about *Phyllosticta* spp. in the past, especially regarding the common mistake to distinguish *P. capitalensis* and *P. citricarpa* associated with symptoms on fruit, or the identification of *P. citriasiana* and *P. citrichinaensis*. After the advent of DNA-based characterization, the taxonomy and classification of these species were clarified, offering new data and methods to improve rapid detection. Many genomes of several important plant pathogenic and endophytic fungi such as various *Phyllosticta* species have been sequenced. The genomes of *P. citricarpa* and *P. capitalensis* were already sequenced by Wang *et al.* (2016). The citrus pathogens *P. citriasiana*, *P. citrichinaensis* and *P. paracitricarpa*, and also the endophytic species *P. citribraziliensis*, are also now available for study.

Although the sexual morph of *P. citricarpa* was known (Wang *et al.*, 2016), it was only recently successfully induced *in vitro* by Tran *et al.* (2017) through outcrossing of isolates carrying complementary MAT idiomorphs, thus demonstrating the heterothallic behaviour of *P. citricarpa*. In the same study, the sexual function of spermatia as male gametes in the life cycle of *P. citricarpa*, and the fertilization in this fungus occurring via spermatization, were also demonstrated (Tran *et al.*, 2017). However, no research has to date focused on the sexual morph of the other *Phyllosticta* spp. associated with citrus, except for *P. capitalensis* that was demonstrated as being homothallic by Wang *et al.* (2016). Based on the whole genome analysis of several strains in this study, we identified *P. citriasiana*, *P. citribraziliensis* and *P. paracitricarpa* as heterothallic, and *P. citrichinaensis* as homothallic. We partially sequenced the *MAT1-1-1* and *MAT1-2-1* genes present in additional isolates of all the tested species by using new sets of specific primers designed in this study.

Future studies on the global distribution of mating types of *P. citricarpa* at the lesion, fruit and tree level, and movement of spermatia on the plant at the infection stage, are necessary to study their primary role in ascospore production, as well as to explain the occurrence of sexual reproduction of the fungus on leaf litter under orchard conditions. Such data would clarify the role of all the spore types in the disease cycle of CBS, aiding the development of a good and fast method for pathogenicity testing in order to screen various chemicals and/or biological agents. Larger populations of *P. citriasiana*, *P. citrichinaensis* and

P. paracitricarpa are required to make it possible to obtain more details about the distribution of mating types for other pathogenic *Phyllosticta* spp.

The available genomic sequences for six species of the genus *Phyllosticta* provide an important resource to gain a better understanding regarding the distribution, sexual morph, rapid detection and marker development of these important species. However, more *P. citricarpa* genomes of both MAT idiomorphs and from diverse areas are essential. Also, the sequencing of *P. paracapitalensis*, which was unknown when the strains used in this study for the genome sequencing were selected, is still absent.

Further studies must be conducted on a wider global selection of *P. citricarpa* strains to improve our knowledge of its host association and distribution. A broader sampling is required to collect additional populations from Europe, Asia and Oceania for more detailed population studies. Population genetic inferences were strengthened by the development of several polymorphic SSR markers (Carstens *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2016), but a complete picture of the introduction and global movement pathways has not yet emerged. Therefore, more informative markers are needed to examine the population structure and migration pathways of *P. citricarpa* and other *Phyllosticta* spp. The development of new informative markers is crucial to accurately characterize clones and to track the global movement and introductions of *P. citricarpa* along with plant material from Asia, where *Citrus* is endemic, to other continents. These studies can also provide information on pathogenicity mechanisms, which remain very poorly understood.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Chris Daum and his JGI team for sequencing the genomes and transcriptomes for this study, William Andreopoulos, Anna Lipzen, Kurt LaButti and Jasmyn Pangalanan for their contributions to assemblies, and Kerrie Barry for project management. The work conducted by the US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, a DOE Office of Science User Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of the US Department of Energy under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

REFERENCES

- Agostini, J.P., Peres, N.A., Mackenzie, S.J., Adaskaveg, J.E. and Timmer, L.W. (2006) Effect of fungicides and storage conditions on postharvest development of citrus black spot and survival of *Guignardia citricarpa* in fruit tissues. *Plant Dis.* **90**, 1419–1424.
- Alexopoulos, C., Mims, C. and Blackwell, M. (1996) *Introductory Mycology*. 4th edn. New York: John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W. and Lipman, D.J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new

- generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**, 3389–3402.
- Amorim, R., Savi, D.C., Ferreira-Maba, L., Aluizio, R., Goulin, E.H., Takita, M.A., Machado, M.A. and Glienke, C. (2017) MAT gene idiomorphs suggest a heterothallic sexual cycle in the citrus pathogen *Phyllosticta citricarpa*. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **147**, 325–337.
- Baayen, R.P., Bonants, P.J.M., Verkley, G., Carroll, G.C., van der Aa, H.A., De Weerd, M., Van Brouwershaven, I.R., Schutte, G.C., Maccheroni, W., De Blanco, C.G. and Azevedo, J.L. (2002) Nonpathogenic isolates of the citrus black spot fungus, *Guignardia citricarpa*, identified as a cosmopolitan endophyte of woody plants, *G. mangiferae* (*Phyllosticta capitalensis*). *Phytopathology*, **92**, 464–477.
- Baldassari, R.B., Reis, R.F. and De Goes, A. (2006) Susceptibility of fruits of the 'Valencia' and 'Natal' sweet orange varieties to *Guignardia citricarpa* and the influence of the coexistence of healthy and symptomatic fruits. *Fitopatol. Bras.* **31**, 337–341.
- Baldassari, R.B., Wickert, E. and De Goes, A. (2008) Pathogenicity, colony morphology and diversity of isolates of *Guignardia citricarpa* and *G. mangiferae* isolated from *Citrus* spp. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **120**, 103–110.
- Barr, M.E. (1970) Some amero sporous ascomycetes on Ericaceae and Empetraceae. *Mycologia*, **62**, 377–394.
- Barr, M.E. (1972) Preliminary studies on the Dothideales in temperate North America. *Contrib. Univ. Mich. Herb.* **9**, 523–638.
- Bassimba, D., Nzambi, N., Paixão, M.I.M.S., Katula, I.D.G. and Vicent, A. (2018) First report of citrus black spot caused by *Phyllosticta citricarpa* in Angola. *Plant Dis.* **102**, 683.
- Bellotte, J.A.M., Kupper, K.C., Rinaldo, D., de Souza A. and de Goes, A. (2013) The effects of inter-crop cultivation between rows of citrus crop on spreading of *Guignardia citricarpa* ascospores and in the citrus black spot occurrence. *Rev Bras Frutic.* **35**, 102–111.
- Bellotte, J.A.M., Kupper, K.C., Rinaldo, D., de Souza, A., Pereira, F.D. and de Goes, A. (2009) Acceleration of the decomposition of Sicilian lemon leaves as an auxiliary measure in the control of citrus black spot. *Trop. Plant Pathol.* **34**, 71–76.
- Benson, A.H. (1895) Black spot of the orange. *Agric. Gaz. N.S.W.* **4**, 249–252.
- Bezerra, J.P.D., Santos, M.G.S., Svedese, V.M., Lima, D.M.M., Fernandes, M.J.S., Paiva, L.M. and Souza-Motta, C.M. (2012) Richness of endophytic fungi isolated from *Opuntia ficus-indica* Mill. (Cactaceae) and preliminary screening for enzyme production. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **28**, 1989–1995.
- Bissett, J. (1986) *Discochora yuccae* sp. nov. with *Phyllosticta* and *Leptodothiorella* synanamorphs. *Can. J. Bot.* **64**, 1720–1726.
- Brentu, F.C., Oduro, K.A., Offei, S.K., Odamtten, G.T., Vicent, A., Peres, N.A. and Timmer, L.W. (2012) Crop loss, aetiology, and epidemiology of citrus black spot in Ghana. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **133**, 657–670.
- Brodrick, H.T. and Rabie, C.J. (1970) Light and temperature effects on symptom development and sporulation of *Guignardia citricarpa* Kiely, on *Citrus sinensis* (Linn.) Osbeck. *Phytophylactica*, **2**, 157–164.
- Calavan, E.C. (1960) Black spot of citrus. *Citrus Grower*, **323**, 11–15.
- Carstens, E., Le Roux, H.F., Van Rooyen, L., Coetzee, J., Wentzel, R., Laubscher, W., Dawood, D., Holtzhausen, M.A., Schutte, G.C., Fourie, P.H. and Wattingh, V. (2012) Citrus black spot does not occur in the Western Cape, Northern Cape and Free State provinces of South Africa. *S. Afr. J. Sci.* **108**, 56–61.
- Carstens, E., Linde, C., Slabbert, R., Miles, A., Donovan, N., Li, H.Y., Zhang, K., Dewdney, M.M., Rollins, J.A., Glienke, C., Schutte, G.C., Fourie, P. and McLeod, A. (2017) A global perspective on the population structure and reproductive system of *Phyllosticta citricarpa*. *Phytopathology*, **107**, 758–768.
- CBS Expert Panel (2013) Response to EFSA Panel on Plant Health, 2013 – Draft Scientific Opinion on the risk of *Phyllosticta citricarpa* (*Guignardia citricarpa*) for the EU territory with identification and evaluation of risk reduction options. <http://www.citrusres.com/market-access>.
- CBS Expert Panel (2014) Comments on the European Union Food Safety Authority's Pest Risk Assessment for *Phyllosticta citricarpa*. <http://www.citrusres.com/market-access>.
- CBS Expert Panel (2015) Response to the EFSA 2015 statement on comments made by an international panel of scientists regarding EFSA's 2014 risk assessment for *Phyllosticta citricarpa*. <http://www.citrusres.com/market-access>.
- Cobb, N.A. (1897) Letters on the diseases of plants: black-spot of the orange. *Agric. Gaz. N.S.W.* **8**, 229–231.
- Coppin, E., Debuchy, R., Arnaise, S. and Picard, M. (1997) Mating types and sexual development in filamentous ascomycetes. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **61**, 411–428.
- Crous, P.W., Slippers, B., Wingfield, M.J., Rheeder, J., Marasas, W.F.O., Philips, A.J.L., Alves, A., Burgess, T., Barber, P. and Groenewald, J.Z. (2006) Phylogenetic lineages in the Botryosphaeriaceae. *Stud. Mycol.* **55**, 235–253.
- Crous, P.W., Summerell, B.A., Shivas, R.G., Burgess, T.I., Decock, C.A., Dreyer, L.L., Granke, L.L., Guest, D.I., Hardy, G.E., St, J., Hausbeck, M.K., Hüberli, D., Jung, T., Koukol, O., Lennox, C.L., Liew, E.C.Y., Lombard, L., McTaggart, A.R., Pryke, J.S., Roets, F., Saude, C., Shuttleworth, L.A., Stukely, M.J.C., Vánky, K., Webster, B.J., Windstam, S.T. and Groenewald, J.Z. (2012) Fungal planet description sheets: 107–127. *Persoonia*, **28**, 138–182.
- Crous, P.W., Wingfield, M.J., Richardson, D.M., Leroux, J.J., Strasberg, D., Edwards, J., Roets, F., Hubka, V., Taylor, P.W.J., Heykoop, M., Martín, M.P., Moreno, G., Sutton, D.A., Wiederhold, N.P., Barnes, C.W., Carlavilla, J.R., Gené, J., Giraldo, A., Guarnaccia, V., Guarro, J., Hernández-Restrepo, M., Kolařík, M., Manjón, J.L., Pascoe, I.G., Popov, E.S., Sandoval-Denis, M., Woudenberg, J.H.C., Acharya, K., Alexandrova, A.V., Alvarado, P., Barbosa, R.N., Baseia, I.G., Blanchette, R.A., Boekhout, T., Burgess, T.I., Cano-Lira, J.F., Čmoková, A., Dimitrov, R.A., My, D., Dueñas, M., Dutta, A.K., Esteve-Raventós, F., Fedosova, A.G., Fournier, J., Gamboa, P., Gouliamova, D.E., Grebenc, T., Groenewald, M., Hanse, B., Hardy, G.E.S.J., Held, B.W., Jurjević, Ž., Kaewgrajang, T., Latha, K.P.D., Lombard, L., Luangsa-ard, J.J., Lysková, P., Mallátová, N., Manimohan, P., Miller, A.N., Mirabolfathy, M., Morozova, O.V., Obodai, M., Oliveira, N.T., Ordóñez, M.E., Otto, E.C., Paloi, S., Peterson, S.W., Phosri, C., Roux, J., Salazar, W.A., Sánchez, A., Sarria, G.A., Shin, H.-D., Silva, B.D.B., Silva, G.A., Smith, M.T., Souza-Motta, C.M., Stchigel, A.M., Stoilova-Disheva, M.M., Sulzbacher, M.A., Telleria, M.T., Toapanta, C., Traba, J.M., Valenzuela-Lopez, N., Watling, R. and Groenewald, J.Z. (2016) Fungal Planet description sheets: 400–468. *Persoonia*, **36**, 316–458.
- Crous, P.W., Wingfield, M.J., Burgess, T.I., Carnegie, A.J., St, J., Hardy, G.E., Smith, D., Summerell, B.A., Cano-Lira, J.F., Guarro, J., Houbraken, J., Lombard, L., Martín, M.P., Sandoval-Denis, M., Alexandrova, A.V., Barnes, C.W., Baseia, I.G., Bezerra, J.D.P., Guarnaccia, V., May, T.W., Hernández-Restrepo, M., Stchigel, A.M., Miller, A.N., Ordóñez, M.E., Abreu, V.P., Accioly, T., Agnello, C., Agustíncolmán, A., Albuquerque, C.C., Alfredo, D.S., Alvarado, P., Araújo-Magalhães, G.R., Arauzo, S., Atkinson, T., Barili, A., Barreto, R.W., Bezerra, J.L., Cabral, T.S., Rodríguez, F., Camello, Cruz, R.H.S.F., Daniëls, P.P., da Silva, B.D.B., de Almeida, D.A.C., de Carvalho Júnior, A.A., Decock, C.A., Delgat, L., Denman, S., Dimitrov, R.A., Edwards, J., Fedosova, A.G., Ferreira, R.J., Firmino, A.L., Flores, J.A., García, D., Gené, J., Giraldo, A., Gois, J.S., Gomes, A.A.M., Gonçalves, C.M., Gouliamova, D.E., Groenewald,

- M., Guéorguiev, B.V., Guevara-Suarez, M., Gusmão, L.F.P., Hosaka, K., Hubka, V., Huhndorf, S.M., Jadan, M., Jurjevi, Kraak, B., Kuera, V., Kumar, T.K.A., Kušan, I., Lacerda, S.R., Lamlerthton, S., Lisboa, W.S., Loizides, M., Luangsa-Ard, J.J., Lysková, P., Maccormack, W.P., Macedo, D.M., Machado, A.R., Malysheva, E.F., Marinho, P., Matoec, N., Meijer, M., Meši, A., Mongkolsamrit, S., Moreira, K.A., Morozova, O.V., Nair, K.U., Nakamura, N., Noisripoom, W., Olariaga, I., Oliveira, R.J.V., Paiva, L.M., Pawar, P., Pereira, O.L., Peterson, S.W., Prieto, M., Rodríguez-Andrade, E., Rojodeblas, C., Roy, M., Santos, E.S., Sharma, R., Silva, G.A., Souza-Motta, C.M., Takeuchi-Kaneko, Y., Tanaka, C., Thakur, A., Smith, M.T.H., Tkalec, Z., Valenzuela-Lopez, N., Vanderkleij, P., Verbeken, A., Viana, M.G., Wang, X.W. and Groenewald, J.Z. (2017) Fungal Planet description sheets: 625–715. *Persoonia*, **39**, 625–725.
- de Almeida, T.F. (2009) Mancha preta dos citros: expressao dos sintomas em frutos pela inoculacao com conidios e controle do agente causal (*Guignardia citricarpa*). PhD thesis, Universidade Estadual Paulista "Júlio De Mesquita Filho".
- Debuchy, R., Berteaux-Lecellier, V., and Silar, P. (2010) Mating systems and sexual morphogenesis in ascomycetes. In: Cellular and molecular biology of filamentous fungi (pp. 501–535). American Society of Microbiology.
- Debuchy, R. and Turgeon, B.G. (2006) Mating-type structure, evolution, and function in euascomycetes. In: The Mycota I: Growth, Differentiation and Sexuality (Kües, U. and Fischer, R., eds), pp. 293–323. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Dewdney, M.M., Schubert, T.S., Estes, M.R., Roberts, P.D. and Peres, N.A. (2018) Citrus black spot. In: Florida Citrus Production Guide (Diepenbrock, L.M., Dewdney, M.M. and Vashisth, T., eds), pp. 129–134. Gainesville: University of Florida, Institute of Food and Agricultural Services.
- Doidge, E.M. (1929) Some diseases of *Citrus* prevalent in South Africa. *S. Afr. J. Sci.* **26**, 324.
- Dummel, D.M., Agostini, J.P. and Moschini, R. (2015) Predictive model for ascospore release of *Guignardia citricarpa* using climatological data. Proceedings of the XIIth International Citrus Congress, Valencia, Spain, B. Sabater-Munoz et al. Acta Horticulturae, 1065, 953–963.
- EFSA (2014) Scientific Opinion on the risk of *Phyllosticta citricarpa* (*Guignardia citricarpa*) for the EU territory with identification and evaluation of risk. *Eur. Food Saf. Auth. J.* **12**, 243.
- Er, H.L., Hendricks, K., Goss, E.M., Smith, M., Schubert, T.S., Roberts, P.D. and van Bruggen, A.H.C. (2014) Isolation and biological characterization of *Guignardia* species from citrus in Florida. *J. Plant Pathol.* **96**, 43–55.
- European Union (2000) Final report of a mission carried out in Brazil from 3 to 6 July 2000 in order to evaluate the pre-export inspections on citrus fruit originating in Brazil and exported to the European Union. Available at http://ec.europa.eu/food/fs/inspections/pi/reports/brazil/pi_rep_braz_1180-2000_en.pdf.
- Everett, K.R. and Rees-George, J. (2006) Reclassification of an isolate of *Guignardia citricarpa* from New Zealand as *Guignardia mangiferae* by sequence analysis. *Plant Pathol.* **55**, 194–199.
- FAO (2014) ISPM No 27. 2006. Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests Intentional Standards for Phytosanitary Measures, Publication No 27: Annex 5. *Phyllosticta citricarpa* (McAlpine) Aa on fruit. Secretariat of the International Plant Protection, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Fogliata, G.M., Muñoz, M.L., Rojas, A.A. and Ploper, D. (2011) Eficacia de tres estrobilurinas para el control de mancha rojiza (*Guignardia mangiferae*) y mancha negra (*Guignardia citricarpa*) en frutos de limón, en Tucumán. *República Argentina. Rev. Ind. Agríc. Tucumán*, **88**, 37–45.
- Fourie, P.H., Schutte, T., Serfontein, S. and Swart, F. (2013) Modeling the effect of temperature and wetness on *Guignardia pseudothecium* maturation and ascospore release in citrus orchards. *Phytopathology*, **103**, 281–292.
- Frare, G.F., Silva-Junior, G.J., Bassanezi, R.B., Ramires, T.G. and Amorim, L. (2019) Sweet orange fruit age and inoculum concentration affect expression of citrus black spot symptoms. *Plant Dis.* <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-03-18-0492-RE>
- Glienke, C., Pereira, O., Stringari, D., Fabris, J., Kava-Cordeiro, V., Galli-Terasawa, L., Cunningham, J., Shivas, R., Groenewald, J.Z. and Crous, P.W. (2011) Endophytic and pathogenic *Phyllosticta* species, with reference to those associated with citrus black spot. *Persoonia*, **26**, 47–56.
- Glienke-Blanco, C., Aguilar-Vildoso, C.I., Vieira, M.L.C., Barroso, P.A.V. and Azevedo, J.L. (2002) Genetic variability in the endophytic fungus *Guignardia citricarpa* isolated from citrus plants. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* **25**, 251–255.
- Gnerre, S., MacCallum, I., Przybylski, D., Ribeiro, F.J., Burton, J.N., Walker, B.J., Sharpe, T., Hall, G., Shea, T.P., Sykes, S., Berlin, A.M., Aird, D., Costello, M., Daza, R., Williams, L., Nicol, R., Gnirke, A., Nusbaum, C., Lander, E.S. and Jaffe, D.B. (2011) High-quality draft assemblies of mammalian genomes from massively parallel sequence data. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 1513–1518.
- Grabherr, M.G., Haas, B.J., Yassour, M., Levin, J.Z., Thompson, D.A., Amit, I., Adiconis, X., Fan, L., Raychowdhury, R., Zeng, Q., Chen, Z., Mauceli, E., Hacohen, N., Gnirke, A., Rhind, N., di Palma, F., Birren, B.W., Nusbaum, C., Lindblad-Toh, K., Friedman, N. and Regev, A. (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-seq data without a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 644–52.
- Grigoriev, I.V., Nikitin, R., Haridas, S., Kuo, A., Ohm, R., Otilar, R., Riley, R., Salamov, A., Zhao, X., Korzeniewski, F., Smirnova, T., Nordberg, H., Dubchak, I. and Shabalov, I. (2014) MycoCosm portal: gearing up for 1000 fungal genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, D699–704.
- Guarnaccia, V. and Crous, P.W. (2017) Emerging citrus diseases in Europe caused by *Diaporthe* spp. *IMA Fungus*, **8**, 317–334.
- Guarnaccia, V. and Crous, P.W. (2018) Species of *Diaporthe* on Camellia and Citrus in the Azores Islands. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* **57**, 307–319.
- Guarnaccia, V., Groenewald, J.Z., Li, H., Glienke, C., Carstens, E., Hattingh, V., Fourie, P.H. and Crous, P.W. (2017a) First report of *Phyllosticta citricarpa* and description of two new species, *P. paracapitalensis* and *P. paracitricarpa*, from citrus in Europe. *Stud. Mycol.* **87**, 161–185.
- Guarnaccia, V., Groenewald, J.Z., Polizzi, G. and Crous, P.W. (2017b) High species diversity in *Colletotrichum* associated with citrus diseases in Europe. *Persoonia*, **39**, 32–50.
- Hawksworth, D., Crous, P.W., Redhead, S.A., Reynolds, D.R., Samson, R.A., Seifert, K.A., Taylor, J.W. and Wingfield, M.J. (2011) The Amsterdam declaration on fungal nomenclature. *IMA Fungus*, **2**, 105–112.
- Hendricks, K.E., Christman, M. and Roberts, P.D. (2017) Spatial and temporal pattern of commercial citrus trees affected by *Phyllosticta citricarpa* in Florida. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1641.
- Hennings, P. (1908) Fungi S. Paulenses IV a cl. Puttemans collecti. *Hedwigia*, **48**, 1–20.
- Hincapie, M., Wang, N.Y., Peres, N.A. and Dewdney, M.M. (2014) Baseline sensitivity of *Guignardia citricarpa* isolates from Florida to azoxystrobin and pyraclostrobin. *Plant Dis.* **98**, 780–789.
- Hu, J., Johnson, E.G., Wang, N.Y., Davoglio, T. and Dewdney, M.M. (2014) qPCR quantification of pathogenic *Guignardia citricarpa* and nonpathogenic *G. mangiferae* in Citrus. *Plant Dis.* **98**, 112–120.
- Huang, C.S. and Chang, S.L. (1972) Leaf infection with citrus black spot and perithecial development in relation to ascospore discharge of *Guignardia citricarpa* Kiely. *J. Taiwan Agric. Res.* **21**, 256–263.

- Johnston, P.R. (1998) Leaf endophytes of manuka (*Leptospermum scoparium*). *Mycol. Research*, **102**, 1009–1016.
- Kellerman, C.R. and Kotzé, J.M. (1977) The black spot disease of citrus and its control in South Africa. *Proc. Int. Soc. Citricult.* **3**, 992–996.
- Kiely, T.B. (1948a) Preliminary studies on *Guignardia citricarpa*, N. SP.: The ascigerous stage of *Phoma citricarpa* McAlp, and its relation to black spot of citrus. *Proc. Linn. Soc. NSW*, **73**, 249–292.
- Kiely, T.B. (1948b) *Guignardia citricarpa* (n.sp.) and its relationship to the black spot disease of Citrus in coastal orchards of New South Wales. *J. Aust. I. Agric. Sci.* **14**, 81–83.
- Kiely, T.B. (1949) Black spot of citrus in New South Wales coastal orchards. *Agric. Gaz. NSW*, **60**, 17–20.
- Korf, H.J.G., Schutte, G.C. and Kotzé, J.M. (2001) Effect of packinghouse procedures of the viability of *Phyllosticta citricarpa*, anamorph of the black spot pathogen. *Afr. Plant Prot.* **7**, 103–109.
- Kotzé, J.M. (1963) Studies on the black spot disease of citrus caused by *Guignardia citricarpa* Kiely, with particular reference to its epiphytology and control in Letaba. D.Sc. (Agric.) thesis. University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Kotzé, J.M. (1981) Epidemiology and control of citrus black spot in South Africa. *Plant Dis.* **65**, 945–950.
- Kotzé, J.M. (1996) History and epidemiology of Citrus black spot in South Africa. *Proc. Int. Soc. Citriculture*, 1296–1299.
- Kotzé, J.M. (2000) Black spot. In: *Compendium of Citrus Diseases* (Timmer, L.W., Garnsey, S.M. and Graham J.H., eds), pp 23–25. St. Paul, MN: American Phytopathological Society Press Inc.
- Kupper, K.C., Correa, E.B., Moretto, C., Bettiol, W. and de Goes, A. (2011) Control of *Guignardia citricarpa* by *Bacillus subtilis* and *Trichoderma* spp. *Rev. Bras. Frutic.* **33**, 1111–1118.
- Lanza, F.E., Metzker, T.G., Vinhas, T., Behlau, F. and Silva-Junior, G.J. (2018) Critical fungicide spray period for Citrus Black Spot control in São Paulo State. *Brazil. Plant Dis.* **102**, 334–340.
- Lee, Y.S. and Huang, C.S. (1973) Effect of climatic factors on the development and discharge of ascospores of the citrus black spot fungus. *J. Taiwan Agric. Res.* **22**, 135–144.
- Liu, J.K., Phookamsak, R., Doilom, M., Wikee, S., Li, Y.M., Ariyawansa, H., Boonmee, S., Chomnunti, P., Dai, D.-Q., Bhat, J.D., Romero, A.I., Zhuang, W.-Y., Monkai, J., Gareth Jones, E.B., Chukeatirote, E., Ko Ko, T.W., Zhao, Y.-C., Wang, Y. and Hyde, K.D. (2012) Towards a natural classification of Botryosphaerales. *Fungal Divers.* **57**, 149–210.
- Lucon, C.M.M., Guzzo, S.D., de Jesus, C.O., Pascholati, S.F. and de Goes, A. (2010) Postharvest harpin or *Bacillus thuringiensis* treatments suppress citrus black spot in 'Valencia' oranges. *Crop Protect.* **29**, 766–772.
- Mabberley, D.J. (2004) Citrus (Rutaceae): a review of recent advances in etymology, systematics and medical applications. *Blumea*, **49**, 481–498.
- Magarey, R.D., Hong, S.C., Fourie, P.H., Christie, D.N., Miles, A.K., Schutte, G.C. and Gottwald, T.R. (2015) Prediction of *Phyllosticta citricarpa* using an hourly infection model and validation with prevalence data from South Africa and Australia. *Crop Prot.* **75**, 104–114.
- Makowski, D., Vicent, A., Pautasso, M., Stancanelli, G. and Rafoss, T. (2014) Comparison of statistical models in a meta-analysis of fungicide treatments for the control of citrus black spot caused by *Phyllosticta citricarpa*. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **139**, 79–94.
- Marchionatto, J.B. (1926) Fitoparasitos de la Argentina nuevos o poco conocidos in Physis. *T. VIII*, 367–372.
- Marin-Felix, Y., Hernández-Restrepo, M., Wingfield, M.J., Akulov, A., Carnegie, A.J., Cheewangkoon, R., Gramaje, D., Groenewald, J.Z., Guarnaccia, V., Halleen, F., Lombard, L., Luangsa-ard, J., Marincowitz, S., Moslemi, A., Mostert, L., Quaedvlieg, W., Schumacher, R.K., Spies, C.F.J., Thangavel, R., Taylor, P.W.J., Wilson, A.M., Wingfield, B.D., Wood, A.R. and Crous, P.W. (2019) Genera of phytopathogenic fungi: GOPY 2. *Stud. Mycol.* **92**, 47–133.
- Marques, J.P.R., Spósito, M.B., Mello, A.F.S., Amorim, L., Mondin, M. and Appezzato-da-Gloria, B. (2012) Histopathology of black spot symptoms in sweet oranges. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **133**, 439–448.
- Martínez-Minaya, J., Conesa, D., López-Quílez, A. and Vicent, A. (2018) Spatial and climatic factors associated with the geographical distribution of citrus black spot disease in South Africa. A Bayesian latent Gaussian model approach. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **151**, 991–1007.
- McOnie, K.C. (1964a) Source of inoculum of *Guignardia citricarpa* citrus black spot pathogen. *Phytopathology*, **54**, 64–67.
- McOnie, K.C. (1964b) Apparent absence of *Guignardia citricarpa* Kiely from localities where citrus black spot is absent. *S. Afr. J. Agric. Sci.* **7**, 347–354.
- McOnie, K.C. (1965) Source of infection for black spot of citrus. *South Afr. Citrus J.* **5**, 5–9.
- McOnie, K.C. (1967) Germination and infection of citrus by ascospores of *Guignardia citricarpa* in relation to control of black spot. *Phytopathology*, **57**, 743–746.
- Meyer, L., Sanders, G.M., Jacobs, R. and Korsten, L. (2006) A one-day sensitive method to detect and distinguish between the citrus black spot pathogen *Guignardia citricarpa* and the endophyte *Guignardia mangiferae*. *Plant Dis.* **90**, 97–101.
- Miles, A.K., Willingham, S.L. and Cooke, A.W. (2004) Field evaluation of strobilurins and a plant activator for the control of citrus black spot. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* **33**, 371–378.
- Moran Lemir, A., Stadnik, M., Buchenauer, H. and Canton, N. (2000) *In vitro* production of ascospores and pathogenicity of *Guignardia citricarpa*, causal agent of citrus black spot. *Summa Phytopathol.* **26**, 374–376.
- Moyo, P., van Niekerk, J.M. and Fourie, P.H. (2018) Updated citrus black spot spray programmes 2018–2019. *CRI Cutting Edge*, **254**, 1–21.
- Ni, M., Feretzaki, M., Sun, S., Wang, X. and Heitman, J. (2011) Sex in fungi. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **45**, 405–430.
- Nicolosi, E. (2007) Origin and taxonomy. In: *Citrus Genetics, Breeding and Biotechnology*. (Khan, I.A., ed.), pp. 19–43. Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- Noronha, M.D.A. (2002) Escala diagramatica para avaliacao da mancha preta em folhas de citros efeito da temperatura e da duracao do molhamento na prepenetracao de conidios de *Guignardia citricarpa* Kiely [*Phyllosticta citricarpa* (McAlp.) Van der Aa]. Agronomy: Concentration of Plant Pathology Masters Dissertation. Universidade de Sao Paulo.
- OEPP/EPPO. (2009) Data sheets on quarantine organisms PM 7/17, *Guignardia citricarpa*. *EPPO Bull.* **39**, 318–327.
- Okane, I., Nakagiri, A. and Ito, T. (2001) Identity of *Guignardia* sp. inhabiting ericaceous plants. *Can. J. Bot.* **79**, 101–109.
- Okane, I., Lumyong, S., Nakagiri, A. and Ito, T. (2003) Extensive host range of an endophytic fungus, *Guignardia endophyllicola* (anamorph: *Phyllosticta capitalensis*). *Mycoscience*, **44**, 353–363.
- Parra, G., Bradnam, K. and Korf, I. (2007) CEGMA: a pipeline to accurately annotate core genes in eukaryotic genomes. *Bioinformatics*, **23**, 1061–1067.
- Paul, I., Van Jaarsveld, A.S., Korsten, L. and Hattingh, V. (2005) The potential global geographical distribution of citrus black spot caused by *Guignardia citricarpa* Kiely: Likelihood of disease establishment in the European Union. *Crop Prot.* **24**, 297–308.
- Peña, L.C., Jung, L.F., Savi, D.C., Serviensi, A., Aluizio, R., Goulin, E.H., Galli-Terasawa, L.V., de Noronha Sales Maia, B.H.L., Annies, V., Cavichiolo Franco, C.R., Glienke, C. and Kava, V. (2017) A *Muscador* strain isolated from *Citrus sinensis* and its production of volatile organic compounds inhibiting *Phyllosticta citricarpa*. *J. Plant Dis. Protect.* **124**, 349–360.

- Petrak, F. (1957) Über die Gattungen *Guignardia* Viala und Ravaz und *Discosphaeria* v. Höhnelt. *Sydowia*, **11**, 435–445.
- Pöggeler, S. (2001) Mating-type genes for classical strain improvements of ascomycetes. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **56**, 589–601.
- Rakotoniriana, E.F., Munaut, F., Decock, C., Randriamampionona, D., Andriamboloniaina, M., Rakotomalala, T., Rakotonirina, E.J., Rabemanantsoa, C., Cheuk, K., Ratsimamanga, S.U., Mahillon, J., El-Jaziri, M., Quetin-Leclercq, J. and Corbisier, A.M. (2008) Endophytic fungi from leaves of *Centella asiatica*: occurrence and potential interactions within leaves. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, **93**, 27–36.
- Ramon-Laca, L. (2003) The introduction of cultivated citrus to Europe via northern Africa and the Iberian Peninsula. *Econ. Bot.* **57**, 502–514.
- Rappussi, M.C.C., Pascholati, S.F., Benato, E.A. and Cia, P. (2009) Chitosan reduces infection by *Guignardia citricarpa* in postharvest 'Valencia' oranges. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* **52**, 513–521.
- Rappussi, M.C.C., Benato, E.A., Cia, P. and Pascholati, S.F. (2011) Chitosan and fungicides on postharvest control of *Guignardia citricarpa* and on quality of 'Pera Rio' oranges. *Summa Phytopathol.* **37**, 142–144.
- Reeder, R., Kelly, P.L. and Harling, R. (2009) First confirmed report of citrus black spot caused by *Guignardia citricarpa* on sweet oranges (*Citrus sinensis*) in Uganda. *Plant Pathol.* **58**, 399.
- Reis, R.F., Timmer, L.W. and de Goes, A. (2006) Effect of temperature, leaf wetness and rainfall on the production of *Guignardia citricarpa* ascospores and on black spot severity on sweet orange. *Fitopatol. Bras.* **31**, 29–34.
- Robbs, C.F., Pimentel, J.P. and Ribeiro, R.L.D. (1980) A mancha preta dos frutos cítricos causada por *Phoma citricarpa*. *Fitopatol. Bras.* **5**, 455.
- Rodrigues, K.F. and Samuels, G.J. (1999) Fungal endophytes of *Spondias mombin* leaves in Brazil. *J. Basic Microbiol.* **39**, 131–135.
- Rodrigues, K.F., Sieber, T.N., Grünig, C.R. and Holdenrieder, O. (2004) Characterization of *Guignardia mangiferae* isolated from tropical plants based on morphology, ISSR-PCR amplifications and ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 sequences. *Mycol. Res.* **108**, 45–52.
- Rodriguez, A., Kava, V., Latorre-García, L., da Silva, G.J.J., Pereira, R.G., Glienke, C., Ferreira-Maba, L.S., Vicent, A., Shimada, T. and Pena, L. (2018) Engineering D-limonene synthase down-regulation in orange fruit induces resistance against the fungus *Phyllosticta citricarpa* through enhanced accumulation of monoterpene alcohols and activation of defence. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **19**, 2077–2093.
- Romão, A.S., Spósito, M.B., Andreote, F.D., Azevedo, J.L. and Araujo, W.L. (2011) Enzymatic differences between the endophyte *Guignardia mangiferae* (Botryosphaeriaceae) and the citrus pathogen *G. citricarpa*. *Genet. Mol. Res.* **10**, 243–252.
- Rossman, A.Y., Adams, G.C., Cannon, P.F., Castlebury, L.A., Crous, P.W., Gryzenhout, M., Jaklitsch, W.M., Mejia, L.C., Stoykov, D., Udayanga, D., Voglmayr, H. and Walker, D.M. (2015) Recommendations of generic names in Diaporthales competing for protection or use. *IMA Fungus*, **6**, 145–154.
- Sandoval-Denis, M., Guarnaccia, V., Polizzi, G. and Crous, P.W. (2018) Symptomatic citrus trees reveal a new pathogenic lineage in *Fusarium* and two new *Neocosmospora* species. *Persoonia*, **40**, 1–25.
- Santos, P.J.C., Savi, D.C., Gomes, R.R., Goulin, E.H., da Senkiv, C., Tanaka, F.A.O., Almeida, A.M.R., Galli-Terasawa, L., Kava, V. and Glienke, C. (2016) *Diaporthe endophytica* and *D. terebinthifolii* from medicinal plants for biological control of *Phyllosticta citricarpa*. *Microb. Res.* **186–187**, 153–160.
- Scaloppi, E.M.T., Aguiar, R.L., de Goes, A. and Sposito, M.B. (2012) Efeito do manejo cultural e químico na incidência e severidade da mancha-preta dos citros. *Rev. Bras. Frutic.* **34**, 102–108.
- Schoch, C.L., Shoemaker, R.A., Seifert, K.A., Hambleton, S., Spatafora, J.W. and Crous, P.W. (2006) A multigene phylogeny of the Dothideomycetes using four nuclear loci. *Mycologia*, **98**, 1041–1052.
- Schreuder, W., du Plooy, W., Erasmus, A., Savage, C., Basson, E., Lennox, C. and Fourie, P.H. (2018) Postharvest fungicide treatments and cold storage control citrus black spot infections. *Crop Prot.* **112**, 332–342.
- Schubert, T.S., Dewdney, M.M., Peres, N.A., Palm, M.E., Jeyaprakash, A., Sutton, B., Mondal, S.N., Wang, N.Y., Rascoe, J. and Picton, D.D. (2012) First report of *Guignardia citricarpa* associated with citrus black spot on sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) in North America. *Plant Dis.* **96**, 1225.
- Schutte, G.C., Beeton, K.V. and Kotze, J.M. (1997) Rind stippling on Valencia oranges by copper fungicides used for control of citrus black spot in South Africa. *Plant Dis.* **81**, 851–854.
- Schutte, G.C. and Kotzé, J.M. (1997) Grass mulching as part in integrated control programme for the control of citrus black spot. *Citrus J.* **7**, 18–20.
- Schutte, G.C., Mansfield, R.I., Smith, H. and Beeton, K.V. (2003) Application of azoxystrobin for control of benomyl-resistant *Guignardia citricarpa* on 'Valencia' oranges in South Africa. *Plant Dis.* **87**, 784–788.
- Schutte, G.C., Kotze, C., van Zyl, J. and Fourie, P. (2012) Assessment of retention and persistence of copper fungicides on orange fruit and leaves using fluorometry and copper residue analyses. *Crop Prot.* **42**, 1–9.
- Schutte, G.C., Kotze, C. and Korf, H.J.G. (2014) Influence of sunlight exposure on fertility of *Phyllosticta citricarpa* pycnidia in citrus black spot lesions on grapefruit and Valencia orange rinds. *SA Fruit J.* April: 54–57.
- Seberry, J.A., Leggo, D. and Kiely, T.B. (1967) Effect of skin coatings on the development of black spot in stored Valencia oranges. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric. Anim. Husb.* **7**, 593–600.
- Silva, A.O., Savi, D.C., Raiser, P.H.S., Gonçalves, F.P., Kava, V., Galli-Terasawa, L.V. and Glienke, C. (2017) Epidemiological aspects of *Phyllosticta citricarpa* colonization and viability in *Citrus sinensis*. *J. Plant Dis. Prot.* **124**, 73–80.
- Silva-Junior, G.J., Feichtenberger, E., Spósito, M.B., Amorim, L., Bassanezi, R.B. and Goes, A. (2016a) Pinta preta dos citros: a doença e seu manejo. v. 1. 208p. Araraquara, São Paulo, Brasil: Fundecitrus.
- Silva-Junior, G.J., da Scapin, M.S., Silva, F.P., Silva, A.R.P., Behlau, F. and Ramos, H.H. (2016b) Spray volume and fungicide rates for citrus black spot control based on tree canopy volume. *Crop Prot.* **85**, 38–45.
- Snowdon, A.L. (1990) A colour atlas of post-harvest diseases and disorders of fruit and vegetables. Vol. I. Barcelona, Spain: Wolfe Scientific Ltd.
- Spósito, M.B., Amorim, L., Ribeiro, P.J. Jr, Bassanezi, R.B. and Krainski, E.T. (2007) Spatial pattern of trees affected by black spot in citrus groves in Brazil. *Plant Dis.* **91**, 36–40.
- Spósito, M.B., Amorim, L., Bassanezi, R.B., Bergamin Filho, A. and Hau, B. (2008) Spatial pattern of black spot incidence within citrus trees related to disease severity and pathogen dispersal. *Plant Pathol.* **57**, 103–108.
- Spósito, M.B., Amorim, L., Bassanezi, R.B., Yamamoto, P.T., Felipe, M.R. and Cermainski, A.B.C. (2011) Relative importance of inoculum sources of *Guignardia citricarpa* on the citrus black spot epidemic in Brazil. *Crop Prot.* **30**, 1546–1552.
- Stammler, G., Schutte, G.C., Speakman, J., Miessner, S. and Crous, P.W. (2013) *Phyllosticta* species on citrus: risk estimation of resistance to QoI fungicides and identification of species with cytochrome b gene sequences. *Crop Prot.* **48**, 6–12.
- Su, Y.Y. and Cai, L. (2012) Polyphasic characterisation of three new *Phyllosticta* spp. *Persoonia*, **28**, 76–84.
- Sultan, A., Johnston, P.R., Park, D. and Robertson, A.W. (2011) Two new pathogenic ascomycetes in *Guignardia* and *Rosenscheldiella* on New Zealand's pygmy mistletoes (*Korthalsella*: Viscaceae). *Stud. Mycol.* **68**, 237–247.

- Tran, N.T., Miles, A., Dietzgen, R.G., Dewdney, M.M., Zhang, K., Rollins, J.A. and Drenth, A. (2017) Sexual reproduction in the Citrus black spot pathogen, *Phyllosticta citricarpa*. *Phytopathology*, **107**, 732–739.
- Tran, N.T., Miles, A.K., Dietzgen, R.G. and Drenth, A. (2019) *Phyllosticta capitalensis* and *P. paracapitalensis* are endophytic fungi that show potential to inhibit pathogenic *P. citricarpa* on citrus. *Australas Plant Path.* **48**, 281–296.
- Tran, N.T., Miles, A., Smith, M.W., Dietzgen, R.G. and Drenth, A. (2018) Pathogenicity of *Phyllosticta citricarpa* ascospores on *Citrus* spp. *Plant. Dis.* **102**, 1386–1393.
- Truter, M. (2010) Epidemiology of Citrus Black Spot Disease in South Africa and Its Impact on Phytosanitary Trade Restrictions. PhD thesis. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Truter, M., Labuschagne, P.M., Kotzé, J.M., Meyer, L. and Korsten, L. (2007) Failure of *Phyllosticta citricarpa* pycnidiospores to infect Eureka lemon leaf litter. *Austral. Plant Pathol.* **36**, 87–93.
- Turgeon, B.G. and Yoder, O. (2000) Proposed nomenclature for mating type genes of filamentous ascomycetes. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **31**, 1–5.
- USDA APHIS (United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service) (2010) Risk assessment of Citrus spp. fruit as a pathway for the introduction of *Guignardia citricarpa* Kiely, the organism that causes Citrus Black Spot disease. Center for Plant Health Science and Technology, Plant Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Laboratory, Raleigh, NC, USA.
- USDA APHIS (United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service) (2011) Federal domestic quarantine order *Guignardia citricarpa* Kiely Causal Agent of Citrus Black Spot (CBS) DA-2011-03.
- van Bruggen, A.H., Sharma, K. and Shin, K. (2017) Sugar cane processing residue, bagasse, enhances decomposition of citrus leaves and could contribute to citrus black spot management. *Crop Prot.* **93**, 89–97.
- van der Aa, H.A. (1973) Studies in *Phyllosticta* I. *Stud. Mycol.* **5**, 1–110.
- van der Aa, H.A. and Vanev, S. (2002) A Revision of the Species Described in *Phyllosticta*. Utrecht, Netherlands: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures.
- Veltri, D., Wight, M.M. and Crouch, J.A. (2016) SimpleSynteny: a web-based tool for visualization of microsynteny across multiple species. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**(W1), W41–W45.
- Viala, P. and Ravaz, L. (1892) Sur la dénomination botanique (*Guignardia bidwellii*) du black-rot. *Bull. Trimest. Soc. Mycol. Fr.* **8**, 63.
- Wager, V.A. (1952) The black spot disease of citrus in South Africa. *Sci. B. Dep. Agric. Union S. Afr.* **303**, 1–52.
- Wang, N. and Dewdney, M.M. (2014) Nutritional and environmental effects on germination and appressorium formation of *Guignardia citricarpa conidia*. *Phytopathology*, **102**(S4), 131.
- Wang, X., Chen, G., Huang, F., Zhang, J., Hyde, K.D. and Li, H. (2012) *Phyllosticta* species associated with citrus diseases in China. *Fungal Divers.* **52**, 209–224.
- Wang, N.-Y., Rollins, J.A. and Dewdney, M.M. (2013) Characterization of the mating-type locus (MAT) of *Guignardia citricarpa*, the fungal causal agent of citrus black spot. *Phytopathology*, **103**, S2 156.
- Wang, N.-Y., Zhang, K., Huguet-Tapia, J.C., Rollins, J.A. and Dewdney, M.M. (2016) Mating type and simple sequence repeat markers indicate a clonal population of *Phyllosticta citricarpa* in Florida. *Phytopathology*, **106**, 1300–1310.
- Whiteside, J.O. (1967) Sources of inoculum of the black spot fungus, *Guignardia citricarpa*, in infected Rhodesian orchards. *Rhod. Zambia Malawi J. Agric. Res.* **5**, 171–177.
- Wijayawardene, N.N., Crous, P.W., Kirk, P.M., Hawksworth, D.L., Boonmee, S., Braun, U., Dai, D.Q., D'souza, M.J., Diederich, P., Dissanayake, A., Doilom, M., Hongsanan, S., Jones, E.B.G., Groenewald, J.Z., Jayawardena, R., Lawrey, J.D., Liu, J.-K., Lücking, R., Madrid, H., Manamgoda, D.S., Muggia, L., Nelsen, M.P., Phookamsak, R., Suetrong, S., Tanaka, K., Thambugala, K.M., Wanasinghe, D.N., Wikee, S., Zhang, Y., Aptroot, A., Ariyawansa, H.A., Bahkali, A.H., Bhat, D.J., Gueidan, C., Chomnunti, P., De Hoog, G.S., Knudsen, K., Li, W.-J., McKenzie, E.H.C., Miller, A.N., Phillips, A.J.L., Piątek, M., Raja, H.A., Shivas, R.S., Slippers, B., Taylor, J.E., Tian, Q., Wang, Y., Woudenberg, J.H.C., Cai, L., Jaklitsch, W.M. and Hyde, K.D. (2014) Naming and outline of Dothideomycetes–2014 including proposals for the protection or suppression of generic names. *Fungal Divers.* **69**, 1–55.
- Wikee, S., Udayanga, D., Crous, P.W., Chukeatirote, E., McKenzie, E.H.C., Bahkali, A.H., Dai, D.Q. and Hyde, K.D. (2011) *Phyllosticta* – an overview of current status of species recognition. *Fungal Divers.* **51**, 43–61.
- Wikee, S., Lombard, L., Crous, P.W., Nakashima, C., Motohashi, K., Chukeatirote, E., Alias, S.A., McKenzie, E.H.C. and Hyde, K.D. (2013a) *Phyllosticta capitalensis*. A widespread endophyte of plants. *Fungal Divers.* **60**, 91–105.
- Wikee, S., Lombard, L., Nakashima, C., Motohashi, K., Chukeatirote, E., Cheewangkoon, R., McKenzie, E.H.C., Hyde, K.D. and Crous, P.W. (2013b) A phylogenetic re-evaluation of *Phyllosticta* (Botryosphaerales). *Stud. Mycol.* **76**, 1–29.
- Wingfield, M.J., De Beer, W.Z., Slippers, B., Wingfield, B.D., Groenewald, J.Z., Lombard, L. and Crous, P.W. (2012) One fungus, one name promotes progressive plant pathology. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **13**, 604–613.
- Wong, M.H., Crous, P.W., Henderson, J., Groenewald, J.Z. and Drenth, A. (2012) *Phyllosticta* species associated with freckle disease of banana. *Fungal Divers.* **56**, 173–187.
- Wu, G.A., Terol, J., Ibanez, V., López-García, A., Pérez-Román, E., Borredá, C., Domingo, C., Tadeo, F.R., Carbonell-Caballero, J., Alonso, R., Curk, F., Du, D., Ollitrault, P., Roose, M.L., Dopazo, J., Gmitter, F.G. Jr, Rokhsar, D.S. and Talon, M. (2018) Genomics of the origin and evolution of *Citrus*. *Nature*. **554**, 311–316.
- Wulandari, N.F., To-Anun, C., Hyde, K.D., Duong, L.M., de Gruyter, J., Meffert, J.P., Groenewald, J.Z. and Crous, P.W. (2009) *Phyllosticta citriasiana* sp. nov., the cause of citrus tan spot of *Citrus maxima* in Asia. *Fungal Divers.* **34**, 23–39.
- Yan, J., Dewdney, M.M., Roberts, P.D. and Ritenour, M.A. (2016) The effects of postharvest hot water and fungicide treatments on *Guignardia citricarpa* growth and the development of citrus black spot symptoms on 'Valencia' orange fruit. *HortScience*, **51**, 1555–1560.
- Yonow, T., Hattingh, V. and De Villiers, M. (2013) CLIMEX modelling of the potential global distribution of the citrus black spot disease caused by *Guignardia citricarpa* and the risk posed to Europe. *Crop Prot.* **44**, 18–28.
- Yuan, Z., Chen, Y. and Yang, Y. (2009) Diverse non-mycorrhizal fungal endophytes inhabiting an epiphytic, medicinal orchid (*Dendrobium nobile*): estimation and characterization. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **25**, 295–303.
- Zavala, M.G.M., Er, H.L., Goss, E.M., Wang, N.Y., Dewdney, M.M. and Van Bruggen, A.H.C. (2014) Genetic variation among *Phyllosticta* strains isolated from citrus in Florida that are pathogenic or nonpathogenic to citrus. *Trop. Plant Pathol.* **39**, 119–128.
- Zhang, Y., Crous, P.W., Schoch, C.L. and Hyde, K.D. (2012) Pleosporales. *Fungal Divers.* **53**, 1–221.
- Zhang, K., Wang, N.Y., Dewdney, M.M. and Rollins, A. (2015) Lonely peninsula: The mating-type and population of *Phyllosticta citricarpa* in Florida. *Phytopathology*, **105**, S4, 157.
- Zheng, X.M. (1983) Studies on the black spot of citrus (*Guignardia citricarpa* Kiely). *J. S. Chin. Agric. Coll.* **4**, 53–60.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web site:

Fig. S1 Different *Phyllosticta* isolates screened using the MAT111deg-F2 and MAT111deg-R3 primers (1010-bp fragment; top part of both gel photos), and the same *Phyllosticta* isolates

screened with the MAT121deg-F1 and MAT121deg-R1 primers (300-bp-fragment; lower part of both gel photos).

Table S1 Geographical distribution of *Phyllosticta citricarpa*.

Table S2 Collection and sequencing details of isolates included in this study.

Table S3 Accession numbers of mating type loci genes used in the synteny visualization.